

# CASE REPORT: Male Laser Circumcision using 2940 nm Er:YAG Laser

Ago Harlim<sup>1</sup>, Anze Zorman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>JMB clinic, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Fotona d.o.o., Ljubljana, Slovenia

## ABSTRACT

Male circumcision consists of the surgical removal of some or all of the foreskin (or prepuce) from the penis. It is a very common procedure around the world, with a high degree of prevalence variability. Evaluation of the current evidence indicates that the health benefits of male circumcision outweigh the risks. There are many different techniques for performing circumcision. We started using Er:YAG (2940 nm) laser in our clinic because we can achieve shorter downtime and fewer complications. Here we present a case of a 23-year-old male that underwent Er:YAG laser circumcision without any adverse effects.

**Key words:** Er:YAG, short/reduced downtime, male circumcision.

*Article: J. LA&HA, Vol. 2017, pp.38-40.*

*Received: Nov 24, 2017; Dec 13, 2017.*

© Laser and Health Academy. All rights reserved.

Printed in Europe. www.laserandhealth.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

Male circumcision is the surgical removal of the foreskin (prepuce) from the penis. The word 'circumcision' comes from the Latin *circumcidere*, meaning 'to cut around' [1]. Infant male circumcision dates back more than 6000 years as indicated in pharaonic drawings and circumcised Egyptian mummies [2]. Some historians even suggest that the procedure started some 15,000 years ago [3]. Recent findings suggest a global male circumcision prevalence of 37.7%, varying from country to country significantly. The prevalence of male circumcision in the United States is around 71%, compared to 15% in France, around 92% in Indonesia, and 26% in Australia. In the UK 20.7% of men are circumcised [4]. Therapeutic circumcision represents only 2.5% of neonatal circumcisions, which are performed for patients with inflammation in the foreskin, such as phimosis, balanitis, and localized diseases of the foreskin [5]. The benefits of male circumcision include a reduced risk of urinary tract infections in childhood, a reduced risk of ulcerative sexually transmitted diseases in adulthood, protection against penile cancer, a reduced

risk of cervical cancer in female sex partners, and prevention of balanitis, posthitis, phimosis and paraphimosis [6].

There are many techniques for performing circumcision. In recent years, circumcision procedures using auxiliary devices have become popular [7, 8]. However, the use of these devices increases the recovery time [9]. Besides scalpels, electrocautery and Nd:YAG or CO<sub>2</sub> lasers are also frequently used in circumcision for skin and mucosal excisions [10, 11, 12]. In this case report we present the use of the Er:YAG (2940 nm) laser for circumcision with the aim to reduce downtime.

## II. CASE

A healthy 23-years-old male patient presented to our office in Jakarta, Indonesia and wanted to undergo circumcision to achieve better personal hygiene. He reported no significant past medical history and was not using any routine medications. The surgical area was cleaned and sterilized. We used dorsal penile nerve block anesthesia with lidocaine HCl (20 mg/ml) combined with epinephrine (0.0125 mg/ml). We used about 3 ml.

The method of circumcision used was similar to the manual guillotine technique, but instead of a scalpel the Er:YAG laser (2940 nm) was used (SP Dynamis, Fotona, Slovenia). Using the R08 handpiece with a pulse duration of MSP (100 us), 50 Hz and 140 mJ, we could remove the preputial skin with minimal surrounding tissue damage. For the more vascularized parts we used the R08 with a pulse duration of LP (600 us), 20 Hz and 180 to 200 mJ, which cuts and coagulates at the same time. Afterwards, simple lateral sutures (Vicryl 3.0) with interrupted stitches were placed where needed. Post-treatment care consisted of antibiotic ointment (Otogenta®) dressing for 5 days. After 5 days, only ointment was suggested. The patient also received oral antibiotic (cefadroxil) 500 mg BID. We also recommended that the patient clean the area with sterile saline, as tap water in Indonesia is often contaminated with bacteria. Removal of the sutures was not necessary because we used absorbable materials, although we sometimes cut the rest of the sutures for patient comfort about 10-14 days after surgery. The

recovery period lasted for about 7 to 10 days, however, the patient could return to his normal daily routine 2 days after the operation.



Fig.1. Details of the Er:YAG circumcision procedure: a), b) and c) phases of cutting the foreskin using the R08 handpiece and guillotine method. d) detached foreskin immediately after the laser intervention.

### III. DISCUSSION

Circumcision methods can be classified into one of three types or combinations thereof: dorsal slit, shield and clamp, and excision [13, 14]. Many of the methods in use today fall into one of these major classes and each of them has its advantages and drawbacks [9,15]. Newer methods involving electrocautery, CO<sub>2</sub> and Nd:YAG laser are used to achieve hemostasis, therefore eliminating the need for suturing after circumcision [10, 11, 12]. However, the use of cautery has been shown to cause electrical burns [16]. Both Nd:YAG and CO<sub>2</sub> have been shown to cause a relatively large area of thermal damage surrounding the incision [17]. We have previously used a CO<sub>2</sub> laser for circumcisions and the healing time was longer (10 to 14 days for CO<sub>2</sub> compared to 7 to 10 days for Er:YAG). We have also observed less hematoma, inflammation and practically no necrotic tissue due to the cold ablation of the 2940 nm laser compared to 10600 nm. The only drawback of the Er:YAG laser was the need for a few sutures of the bigger blood vessels in adult patients. In smaller blood vessels, however, it is possible to use a longer Er:YAG LP pulse duration (600 us) to stop bleeding.



Fig. 2. Result of Er:YAG laser circumcision (5 days after the procedure)

## REFERENCES

1. Oxford University Press. Oxford English Dictionary. Available from: <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/circumcise>
2. Totaro A, Volpe A, Racioppi M, Pinto F, Sacco E, Bassi PF. [Circumcision: history, religion and law]. *Urologia* 2011; 78: 1-9. Italian.
3. Dunsmuir, W.D. Gordon, E.M. The history of circumcision. *BJU International*, Volume 83, Suppl. 1: p 1-12. January 1, 1999.
4. Morris et al. Estimation of country-specific and global prevalence of male circumcision. *Population Health Metrics* 2016, 14:4.
5. Malone P, Steinbrecher H. Medical aspects of male circumcision. *Brit Med J* 2007; 335: 1206-1209.
6. WHO/UNAIDS/JHPIEGO. *Surgical Manual for Male Circumcision under Local Anaesthesia* Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2008.
7. Bitega JP, Ngeruka ML, Hategekimana T, Asiimwe A, Binagwaho A. Safety and efficacy of the PrePex device for rapid scale-up of male circumcision for HIV prevention in resource-limited settings. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;58:e127-34.
8. Lagarde E, Taljaard D, Puren A, Auvert B. High rate of adverse events following circumcision of young male adults with the Tara KLamp technique: a randomised trial in South Africa. *S Afr Med J*. 2009;99:163-9.
9. Cheng YZ, Su X, Fang H, Hu J, Wu K, Su R, Ma J. A clinical comparative study of Chinese Shang Ring circumcision versus conventional circumcision. *Chin J Urol* 2011;32:333-5.
10. Arslan D, Kalkan M, Yazgan H, Ünüvar U, Şahin C. Collective circumcision performed in Sudan: evaluation in terms of early complications and alternative practice. *Urology*. 2013;81:864-7.
11. Vaos G. Circumcision with the Nd:YAG laser contact technique compared with conventional surgery. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2004;22:318-22.
12. How AC, Ong CC, Jacobsen A, Joseph VT. Carbon dioxide laser circumcisions for children. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2003;19:11-3.
13. Gerharz EW, Haarmann C. The first cut is the deepest? Medicolegal aspects of male circumcision. *BJU Int*. 2000;86:332-8.
14. Kaplan GW. Complications of circumcision. *Urol Clin North Am*. 1983;10:543-9.
15. Abdullahi Abdulwahab-Ahmed and Ismaila A. Mungadi. Techniques of Male Circumcision. *J Surg Tech Case Rep*. 2013 Jan-Jun; 5(1): 1-7.
16. Gearhart, J.P. and Rock, J.A. (1989). Total ablation of the penis after circumcision with electrocautery: a method of management and long-term follow-up. *J. Urol*. 142, 799-801.
17. S. Parker. Laser-tissue interaction. *British Dental Journal* 2007; 202: 73-81.

The intent of this Laser and Health Academy publication is to facilitate an exchange of information on the views, research results, and clinical experiences within the medical laser community. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and may not in any circumstances be regarded as official product information by medical equipment manufacturers. When in doubt, please check with the manufacturers about whether a specific product or application has been approved or cleared to be marketed and sold in your country.